




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,901	11/04/2003	Yukihiko Shibata	13006.097	7793
7590	11/17/2005			
Christopher J. Fildes Suite 2 20916 Mack Avenue Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236			EXAMINER HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
			ART UNIT 3727	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,901	SHIBATA, YUKIHIKO
<b>All Participants:</b> (1) <u>Robin A. Hylton</u> . (2) <u>Christopher Fildes</u> .	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robin A. Hylton	3727
<b>Status of Application:</b> _____ (3) _____. (4) _____.		
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>15 November 2005</u>		<b>Time:</b> <u>am</u>
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
<b>Part I.</b> <b>Rejection(s) discussed:</b> n/a		
<b>Claims discussed:</b> n/a		
<b>Prior art documents discussed:</b> n/a		
<b>Part II.</b> <b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b> See Continuation Sheet		
<b>Part III.</b> <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
 <b>ROBIN A. HYLTON</b> <b>PRIMARY EXAMINER</b>		
<b>(Examiner/SPE Signature)</b>		<b>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</b>

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding the amendment and petition for extension of time fee filed July 13, 2005, the USPTO indicated the credit card form (PTO-2038) was missing. Thus, the fee was not charged. In order to avoid abandonment of the application, proof of submission of all documents filed July 13, 2005 must be submitted in accordance with MPEP 711.03 (c), including applicant's returned post card receipt and sworn statement of the new submission being a true copy of the originally filed papers.